

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 454 of 1984

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BHAILABHAI MANGALDAS TRIVEDI

Versus

SHRINIVAS DURLABHRAM BHATT

Appearance:

MR MD PANDYA for Petitioner
MR JA ADESHRA for Respondent No. 1, 3, 4
MR SN SHELAT for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 11/07/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The aforesaid matter is an old matter of the year 1984 under section 29(2) of the Bombay Rent Act. It has been on the board since 17th June 2000. On that day learned counsel for the petitioner asked for time to bring the heirs of the deceased sole petitioner on

record. The matter was then adjourned to 30th June 2000. Since no action was taken on the said day, the matter was again adjourned to 10th July 2000. Till today no action has been taken in this regard. This revision has, therefore, become infructuous and is disposed of accordingly. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief stands vacated.

ar